

## Stopping power and range for alpha particles in SiO<sub>2</sub>

Georges Valladas

Centre des Faibles Radioactivités, Laboratoire Mixte CNRS-CEA, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

Of great importance in computing the alpha fluxes in ceramics and flint fragments are the data dealing with the range-energy relation in SiO<sub>2</sub>. Aitken and Bowman (1975) have used some interpolations between Ne and Na from the range of data given in Northcliffe and Schilling (1970), and Williamson *et al.* (1966). Zimmerman (1971) used the experimental data for aluminium from Marion and Young (1968) to predict the energy spectrum of alpha particles in ceramics, using the expression  $1 + 0.29E$  ( $E = \text{energy in MeV}$ ) for the derivative of the alpha range as a function of  $E$ .

We hope that the use of the results published by Ziegler and his co-workers (1977) should allow a better estimate of the stopping power and range in SiO<sub>2</sub> (in fact strong discrepancies exist between data below 5 MeV for the above referenced papers by Northcliffe and Schilling, Williamson *et al.*, Zimmerman, and Ziegler *et al.*). From the best-fit of all the available data, these authors have derived the following formula for the stopping power,  $S$ ,

$$\frac{1}{S} = \frac{1}{S_1} + \frac{1}{S_2} \quad (1)$$

where:

$$S_1 = A_1 E^{A_2} \quad (2)$$

$$S_2 = \frac{A_3}{E} \ln\left(1 + \frac{A_4}{E} + A_5 E\right) \quad (3)$$

and  $A_1, \dots, A_5$  are numerical coefficients tabulated for each element.

We have computed the stopping powers for SiO<sub>2</sub>,  $S(\text{SiO}_2)$ , and the corresponding ranges using the formula:

$$S(\text{SiO}_2) = \frac{28}{60} S(\text{Si}) + \frac{32}{60} S(\text{O}) \quad (4)$$

where  $S(\text{Si})$  and  $S(\text{O})$  represent the s.p. of silicon and of "solid" oxygen, respectively. The latter have been estimated from the effective

charge found for He stopping in B, C, Al and Si (Ziegler, 1977).

*Although there is some evidence that the Bragg additivity rule relating the stopping power of a compound to that of its constituents does not strictly hold, the deviations from the rule are not large and have been observed mainly in the stopping power of hydrocarbons for protons.*

*Northcliffe and Schilling, 1970*

Values of  $S$  and  $R$  for energies ranging from 0.05 to 10 MeV are shown in Table I.

Table I

E	R	S.10 <sup>-2</sup>
0.05	0.198	5.42
0.1	0.272	8.03
0.15	0.328	9.97
0.2	0.374	11.47
0.3	0.454	13.51
0.4	0.525	14.64
0.6	0.657	15.39
0.8	0.787	15.21
1	0.921	14.66
2	1.698	11.33
3	2.690	9.10
4	3.893	7.67
5	5.294	6.68
6	6.885	5.94
7	8.657	5.37
8	10.60	4.92
9	12.72	4.54
10	15.01	4.22

E= energy in MeV;  
R= range in g/cm<sup>2</sup>;  
S= stopping power in MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g.

Let  $\Phi$  be the annual (omnidirectional) alpha flux in silica for a weight concentration of 1 ppm of natural uranium or thorium. We have:

$$\Phi = N \sum R_i \quad (5)$$

where; N is the disintegration rate of the parent of the considered series assumed at equilibrium, and  $R_i$  the track lengths of its different nuclides. F is given for the three radioactive series in Table II.

If the values of F are increased by 3% for a better correspondence to the chemical composition of pottery they are 4 to 5% higher than the fluxes deduced from the results of Bowman (1982).

Concerning the energy derivative of the range, we obtain for silica:

$$1 + 0.43E \text{ for } 1 < E < 5 \text{ MeV}$$

$$1 + 0.30E \text{ for } 5 < E < 9 \text{ MeV}$$

Table II

Parent	N ( $y^{-1} g^{-1}$ )	$\sum R_i$ ( $g \text{ cm}^{-2}$ )	$\Phi$ ( $y^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ )	
$^{238}\text{U}$	$3.897 \cdot 10^5$	$47.53 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.852 \cdot 10^4$	) $1.94 \cdot 10^4$
$^{235}\text{U}$	$1.82 \cdot 10^4$	$48.20 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.77 \cdot 10^2$	
$^{232}\text{Th}$	$1.276 \cdot 10^5$	$42.10 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.377 \cdot 10^3$	

#### PI. Reviewer's Comments (M.J. Aitken).

This update on alpha particle ranges represents a small, but just significant, revision in the alpha particle contribution to the annual dose when any of the three track-length systems are used (see Aitken, 1985) in combination with determination of parent concentration for evaluation of alpha activity. On the other hand, if the alpha activity is evaluated by means of thick-source alpha counting, as is implicit in either the *a*-value or the *b*-value systems, there is a compensatory increase in the alpha count-rate per unit concentration.

#### Reference

Aitken, M.J. (1985) Alpha particle effectiveness: numerical relationship between systems. *Ancient TL*, 3(3), 22-25.

#### References

- Aitken, M.J., and Bowman, S.G.E. (1975) Thermoluminescence dating: assessment of alpha particle contribution. *Archaeometry*, 17, 132-138.
- Bowman, S.G.E. (1982) Alpha particle range in pottery. *PACT J.*, 6, 61-66.
- Marion, J.B., and Young, F.C. (1968) *Nuclear reactions analysis*. North Holland, Amsterdam.
- Northcliffe, L.C., and Schilling, R.E. (1970) Range and stopping-power for heavy ions. *Nuclear Data Tables A7*, 233-463.
- Williamson, C.F., Boujod, J.P., and Picard, J. (1966) Tables of range and stopping power of chemical elements for charged particles of energy 0.05 to 500 MeV. Rapport CEA-R3042. Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay.
- Zimmerman, D.W. (1971) Thermoluminescent dating using fine-grains from pottery. *Archaeometry*, 13, 29-52.
- Ziegler, J.F. (1977) *Helium, stopping powers and ranges in all elemental matter*. Pergamon Press, Oxford.