

## Letters

---

### Errata

It is with a red face that I must apologize for using the word « principle » instead of « principal » throughout a recent article (Huntley & Berger, Ancient TL 13-1 5-9, 1995) when referring to the « principal trap ».

It has come to my attention that there is a wrong number in Berger and Huntley « Test data for exponential fits » Ancient TL 7, 43-46, 1989. In table 1 under STRB87-1 the 3rd unbleached count is given as 21040.6. The correct figure is 20140.6.

D.J. Huntley