

## Addendum to “Absorbed dose fraction for $^{87}\text{Rb}$ $\beta$ particles”

**M.L. Readhead**

Defence Science and Technology Organisation, P.O. Box 44, Pyrmont, NSW, 2009, Australia

(Received 30 September 2002)

---

This recent paper (Readhead, 2002) calculated the absorbed dose fraction for  $\beta$  particles emitted by  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  sources uniformly distributed in a spherical grain of quartz, when surrounded by a region not containing any  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  sources. The converse situation, of  $^{87}\text{Rb}$ -free quartz grains embedded in a medium uniformly emitting  $^{87}\text{Rb}$   $\beta$  particles, was considered by Adamiec and Aitken (1998). Thinking that an “approximate evaluation of this factor is not available”, they “arbitrarily” took the attenuation factor for coarse-grain dating to be 0.75 (see the footnote to Table 8).

The two absorber-emitter situations are complimentary, and a more accurate attenuation factor for the latter case can be obtained from Readhead (2002) by simply replacing Equation 1 with  $D_e = N_0 E_0 (1 - S_e)$ . Table 1 can then be used to obtain the attenuation factor. For example, for 100  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter grains the attenuation factor is 0.512 ( $= 1 - 0.488$ ), leading to an absorbed dose of  $0.0825 \times 0.512$  MeV/ $N_0$  or  $0.3580 \times 0.512$   $\mu\text{Gy/a/ppm Rb}$ . Note that the attenuation factor differs substantially from the value used by Adamiec and Aitken (1998), although in most dating situations this difference will only have a minor affect on the age of the sample.

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks Martin Aitken for bringing his attention to the approximation used in his 1998 paper, and for suggesting this addendum.

### References

- Adamiec, G. and Aitken, M. (1998). Dose-rate conversion factors: update. *Ancient TL* **16**, 37-50.
- Readhead, M.L. (2002). Absorbed dose fraction for  $^{87}\text{Rb}$   $\beta$  particles. *Ancient TL* **20**, 25-28.